

Climate reanalysis at ECMWF

From research to operational services

Dick Dee JCSDA

Many thanks to Hans Hersbach and his reanalysis team at ECMWF Also: Sakari Uppala, Adrian Simmons, Jean-Noël Thépaut



EUROPEAN CENTRE FOR MEDIUM-RANGE WEATHER FORECASTS



From research to services development

Implementation of operational services on behalf of the European Commission

development of new environmental services in Europe

Research to support

Research to support development of ECMWF's Integrated Forecast System



Monitoring atmospheric composition & climate

Atmosphere Monitoring Service



Ę

ECMWF reanalysis productions over the years



Why does ECMWF invest in reanalysis?

Reanalysis provides an excellent testbed for data assimilation

- It reveals a great deal about the quality of the forecast model
- It leads to new ways to make better use of observations
- It exposes bugs and other technical problems in the IFS

Reanalysis data are essential for ECMWF research and development

- It provides a comprehensive verification dataset for testing new model developments
- It allows development of new forecast products that rely on accurate climatologies
- It is needed for calibration of monthly and seasonal forecasts

Reanalysis data are extremely popular with external users

Global datasets for research and education

Ē

- Input for downstream models and systems
- Essential data for services development

Use of reanalysis to evaluate forecast performance

NWP forecast skill varies due to

- model and DA upgrades
- changes in the observing system
- atmospheric predictability

Comparing with re-forecasts skill can isolate the effect of model/DA upgrades

Reanalysis system must be "similar" to the NWP system



Use of reanalysis in probabilistic forecast products

Global EFI - multiple parameters

Global EFI - multiple parameters	extreme cold cold	20 rd warm	dreme warm	ore wind	extreme wind	precip	extreme precip
EFI cape shear		Te			Tol		Y
EFI snow fall	40%			1 TY	K	1 69 1	
EFI significant wave height	×.	S/ 🛛	The	45	AF	1 put	
EFI precipitation	\times / c	1.1	AN	X	AN	TÉ	a internet of
EFI wind speed		M			H in	ET S	A she
EFI wind gust	[7. / Ž	R//	V	SOR		H	00
EFI 2m maximum temperature			TV.		XI	-10	24000
EFI 2m minimum temperature			K (1)	A	TRA		1 000
EFI 2m temperature			1/J	X	Thatte	2/12	X
Related charts	60'W		AN A		ALE	$1 \times$	
Back to charts	8		8	R	The I		
Accessing forecasts	A A A	18	. 22-16-	A COL SOM	P P P		e XV
Documentation and support		60°W	40°W	20°W 0°E	20°E 40°E		80°E
Quality of our forecasts	valid for 24hours fro	om Wednesday	30 November 201	6 0000 UTC t	o Thursday 01 De	ecember 20	16 0000 UTC
Datasets	1000 hPaZ ensemb and EFI values for 7	ole mean (Wedn	esday 30 Novem	ber 2016 1200 wind gust and	0 UTC) I mean 2m tempe	rature (all 2	4h)
Charts	Anomalous weather	r predicted by E	PS:Wednesdav 3	0 November 2	2016 0000 UTC		
Forecasts homepage	Base time 🔻	Day 👻 🖌 Area	•				☆ ▼



Use of reanalysis for climate services development



Adrian Simmons: Adapted from a 2009 talk, with acknowledgments to Kevin Trenberth and organisers of the 2009 World Climate Conference-3

The EU Copernicus Earth Observation Programme

The Copernicus Sentinels, together with other systems for Earth Observation, provide (or will provide) operational data:

- for atmosphere, ocean and land
- for climate and environmental monitoring



 Monitoring of air pollution, stratospheric ozone, solar radiation and climate

SENTINEL-1

Launch Date: 1A: Launched; 1B: Launched Payload: All Weather Imaging Radar Revisit time: 1-6 Davs Applications: Monitoring sea ice and the Arctic, Land Surface motion risks, disaster response

SENTINEL-2

- 2A: Launched; 2B: 2017
- Optical imaging sensor with 13 bands
- 2-5 days
- Monitoring land-use changes, agriculture and ecosystems, volcanoes and landslides

SENTINEL-3

- 3A: Launched: 3B: 2017
- Radar altimeter, Sea/land surface temperature radiometer, sea/land colour imager
- 1-2 days (imagers); 27 days (altimeter)
- Sea-surface and land-ice topography, sea and land surface temperature and colour
- Ultraviolet/visible/near-infrared spectrometer on MTG-S satellite
- Geostationary. Hourly coverage of Europe/North Africa
- Monitoring of air pollution, stratospheric ozone, solar radiation

Copernicus also provides associated services

Ultraviolet/visible/near-

SENTINEL-5

2021

- infrared/short-wave infrared spectrometer on Metop-SG A satellite
- Daily
- Monitoring of air pollution, stratospheric ozone, solar radiation and climate

2016

Daily

The six Copernicus Information Services

Ę



ECMWF implements two of the services on behalf of the European Commission

atmosphere.copernicus.eu



A Climate Data Store for access to data and tools



Surface air temperature anomaly for July 2018 relative to the July average for the period 1981-2010. Source: ERA-Interim. (Credit: Copernicus Climate Change Service / ECMWF) DOWNLOAD THE ORIGINAL IMAGE

July 2018 was warmer than the 1981-2010 average over much of Europe. Temperatures were substantially higher than normal over most of <u>Norway</u>, <u>Sweden</u> and <u>Finland</u>, but were also relatively high over parts of France, Germany, the United Kingdom and the Benelux countries. Records for maximum temperature were broken in places, and many more places saw records for the monthly average temperature broken. Temperatures were below average over Portugal and parts of Spain, and to a lesser degree over most of the Balkan Peninsula.

Heatwaves were also experienced in several other regions of the summer hemisphere. Monthly average temperatures were much higher than normal over California, eastern Canada, Algeria, countries bordering the Caspian Sea, northern China, Korea and Japan. <u>Media articles</u> have reported some of the local temperature records that have been broken and impacts of the extreme heat.

Regions of the northern hemisphere that were colder than average include central Russia, where temperatures were much higher than average in June, and northern Greenland and the far north-east of Canada.

Parts of the Antarctic were less cold than normal for July, although other parts had temperatures that were below average for the month. Most of Australia had a relatively warm month. Temperatures were above average over much of South America, most so over the Brazilian state of São Paulo, but it was colder than average in the south of the Continent.

Marine air temperatures were above normal on average, and particularly warm over the North Pacific and Atlantic Oceans to the east of Japan and the USA respectively. There were nevertheless numerous oceanic regions with below-average temperatures.

cds.climate.copernicus.eu



Quality-assured information and tools for scientists, practitioners and policy makers.

Monthly climate bulletins

Implemented by ECMWF as part of The Copernicus Programme		News Events	Press Tenders	Help & Support
Climate Change Service	ABOUT US	what we do	DATA	Q SEARCH

WHAT WE DO ► CLIMATE BULLETIN

Climate bulletins

Through our monthly maps, we present the current condition of the climate using key climate change indicators. We also provide analysis of the maps and guidance on how they are produced.

HIGHLIGHTS OF THE LATEST MONTHLY SUMMARIES MONTHLY CLIMATE UPDATE FEATURED STORY MONTHLY SUMMARIES

Monthly summaries



Monthly climate update

15TH OCTOBER 2018

In Europe, it was the warmest September on record. Portugal and western Spain were particularly warm.

Iceland, Ireland and Scotland saw generally cooler than average temperatures.

Japan was hit by two devastating storms, Jebi and Trami following rains, landslides, floods and recordbreaking heat this year.

Strong tropical cyclone Mangkhut caused at least 134 fatalities in the Philippines, Hong Kong and China.



Featured story

29TH OCTOBER 2018



A stormy September

One of the <u>warmest summers on record</u> has come to an end w. September full of storms. Modelling of historic storms can hel prepare for such events. We use two of the recent storms to de the improvements we have made with the release of our new] <u>dataset</u>.

Read more

climate.copernicus.eu/climate-bulletins

Is it possible to accurately represent climate trends and variability?

The fundamental problem:

- Observation coverage changes over time
- Models biases are partly corrected by observations



- Observations are also biased
- Data assimilation may exacerbate the problem

Surface air temperature anomalies



Air temperature anomalies

Simmons et al, 2014 DOI:10.1002/qj.2317

Ę





2

-2

2

0

-2

0

5 hPa

10 hPa

20 hPa

30 hPa

50 hPa

70 hPa

2005

2010

manna

Hydrological cycle



Hydrological cycle

Ę



Energy fluxes



Hersbach et al, 2020 DOI:10.1002/qj.3803

Global mean energy budgets (W/m²)

- 03/2000–05/2004 (Trenberth 2009)
- 1989-2008 (ERA-20CM, ERA-I, ERA5)

	~~~	Contraction of the second seco								
~~~/	~~					Model	Trenberth et al. (2009)	ERA-20CM	ERA-Interim	ERA5
	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010 20	Incoming solar radiation (TSI/4)	341.3	340.4	344.2	340.4
		Net absorbed solar radiation (ASR)	239.4	240.9	244.3	242.7				
		Outgoing long-wave radiation (OLR)	238.5	240.6	245.5	242.2				
			TOA net radiation in (\mathbf{R}_{T})	0.9	0.3	-1.2	0.4			
						Net energy absorbed by surface (\mathbf{F}_S)	0.9	1.9	6.9	6.1
						Atmosphere net (TEI = $\mathbf{R}_{T} - \mathbf{F}_{S}$)	0.0	-1.6	-8.1	-5.6

Progress in representing climate trends and variability Ho et al 2019

Twelve-month running mean temperature (°C) at 100 hPa averaged over the tropics (20°S to 20°N)



Progress in representing climate trends and variability – what is involved?

- Reprocessing input observations
- Improving model input (radiative forcing, boundary conditions)
- Addressing biases in forecast models
- Improving observation operators
- Better quality control of observations
- Bias-aware data assimilation (VarBC, weak-constraint 4D-Var)
- Performance monitoring, workflows and practices

Variational bias corrections (K) in ERA-Interim



Variational bias corrections (K) in ERA-Interim

Ę



Cao et al. (2009), Dee and Uppala (2009), Kobayashi et al. (2009), Chung and Soden (2011), Nash and Saunders (2013), Saunders et al. (2013), Lu and Bell (2014), Simmons et al. (2014), ...

What is new in ERA5?

	ERA-Interim	ERA5
Period	1979 – present	1979 – present, now extended to 1950
Availability behind real time	2-3 months	2-3 months (final product) 2-5 days (ERA5T)
Assimilation system	2006 (31r2), 4D-Var	2016 (41r2), 4D-Var ensemble
Model input (radiation and surface)	As in ERA-40 (inconsistent SST and sea ice)	<i>Appropriate for climate:</i> greenhouse gases, volcanic eruptions, sea surface temperature, sea ice
Spatial resolution	79 km globally 60 levels to 10 Pa	31 km globally 137 levels to 1 Pa
Uncertainty estimates		Based on a 10-member 4D-Var ensemble at 62 km
Output frequency	6-hourly analysis fields	Hourly (three-hourly for the ensemble)
Output parameters	84 (sfc) + 25 (wave) + 27 (ua)	205 (sfc) + 46 (wave) + 30 (ua)
Improved observations	Mostly ERA-40, GTS	Various reprocessed CDRs, latest instruments
Variational Bias Correction	Satellite radiances	Radiances, ozone, aircraft temperature, surface pressure, rain rates
Downscaled land product	ERA-Interim land, 79km	ERA5L, 9km



ERA5 input observations (newly reprocessed in blue)





ERA5 input observations (newly reprocessed in blue)



See Hersbach et al. 2020 for details on ERA5 input observations https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803

- Preparing input observations for reanalysis is a massive undertaking
- Under Copernicus, many input data records are being reprocessed for use in climate reanalysis
- JCSDA is proposing to develop an observation data store, provide shared access to reanalysis input

ERA5 analysis increments

Ē



ERA-Interim analysis increments



Improved spatial and temporal resolution

₽



Florence Thu 13 Sep 2018, 01 UTC for ERA-Interim

Ensemble spread as a measure of uncertainty

0.01

0.02

0.05

0.1

0.2

0.5-

10-

20

50

100-

200

500

1000 | 90°N

60°N

0.6

0.5

0.3

1971 CERA-20C: Surface pressure, marine wind, only

1971 ERA5: Upper-air data

30°N

Temperature (Celsius) in MAM 1980

ERA5 SPREAD and Control (2930)

-80

0°N

30°S

60°S

90°S

2018 ERA5: Current observing system

ERA5 extension back to 1950

Range (days) when 365-day mean 500hPa height AC (%) falls below threshold

ERA5 — ERA-Interim ----- ECMWF operations 1981

ERA5 1979 onwards:

Re-forecasts from ERA5 are up to 1 day more skilful than ERA-Interim

ERA5 back extension:

NHEM (especially Europe) skill is rather robust, but declines prior to the IGY in 1957-1958

Over SHEM there is a dramatic improvement following the introduction of TOVS satellite data in late 1978.

Coming next: Coupling with the ocean and sea ice

The **ERA-CLIM2** project pioneered the development of an **outer-loop coupled** data-assimilation in climate reanalysis

- **CERA-20C:** centennial reanalysis using surface observations only
- **CERA-SAT:** proof of concept for a recent 9-year period using the full observing system at the ERA5 EDA resolution

Coupled atmosphere-ocean analysis (CERA)

Analysis is consistent with coupled model

Example: Tropical instability waves

high-pass filtered SST (color) and wind stress (contour)

Courtesy Eric de Boisseson

CERA-20C

- tropical instability waves enabled by ocean dynamics
- atmosphere responds accordingly through surface wind stress

ERA-20C

- monthly SST for boundary condition
- no tropical instability waves or wind stress signals

Laloyaux et al. QJRMS 2016; MWR 2016; JAMES 2018.

Final remarks and outlook

- Copernicus provides sustained funding for reanalysis in Europe focus on climate
- Copernicus also supports work on improving the observational record:
 - Satellite data rescue and reprocessing activities
 - Coordination and tools for historical data rescue
- The next global reanalysis (ERA6) will be coupled with ocean/sea-ice
- Reanalysis-producing centers have always worked together, especially on observations
- JCSDA can help the US reanalysis collaborative effort by providing:
 - Shared access to observation data, including ERA5 input (R2D2)
 - Observation operators and QC for older instruments (JEDI/UFO)
 - Evaluation tools for observation impact in coupled data assimilation

Extra slides

Baseline: ERA-Interim (until 2016) + ECMWF operations (after 2016)

£	No bias correction
Ø	Prescribed bias correctio
VarBC	Radiances Retrieved ozone Aircraft temperatures
	Surface pressure
	Rain rates

Hersbach et al. 2020: doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803

Baseline: ERA-Interim (until 2016) + ECMWF operations (after 2016)

Baseline Not used in baseline Not used in ERA5 Reprocessed for ERA5

÷	No bias correction
Ø	Prescribed bias correction
VarBC	Radiances Retrieved ozone Aircraft temperatures Surface pressure Rain rates

Hersbach et al. 2020: doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803

Baseline: ERA-Interim (until 2016) + ECMWF operations (after 2016)

Baseline Not used in baseline Not used in ERA5 Reprocessed for ERA5

£	No bias correction		
0	Prescribed bias correction		
VarBC	Radiances Retrieved ozone Aircraft temperatures Surface pressure Rain rates		

 NOAA 17
 XVHRR IR AMV

 NOAA 16
 AVHRR IR AMV

 NOAA 15
 AVHRR IR AMV

 NOAA 16
 AVHRR IR AMV

 \$NOAA 12
 AVHRR AMV

 \$NOAA 14
 AVHRR AMV

 \$NOAA 12
 AVHRR AMV

 \$NOAA 12
 AVHRR AMV

 \$NOAA 12
 AVHRR AMV

 \$NOAA 10
 AVHRR AMV

 \$NOAA 10
 AVHRR AMV

 \$NOAA 10
 AVHRR AMV

 \$NOAA 10
 AVHRR AMV

 \$NOAA 7
 AVHRR AMV

 \$METOP-6
 AMV

 \$METOP-8
 AMV

 \$AQUA MODIS
 AMV

 \$LOUA
 AMDIS

 \$\$VHR PAWP
 \$\$NOA

 \$\$LOUA
 AVHR
 Atmospheric Motion Vectors (AMV) - Continental US (135W and 60- METEOSAT 11 AMV
METEOSAT 10 AMV
METEOSAT 9 AMV
METEOSAT 8 AMV
METEOSAT 8 AMV
METEOSAT 6 AMV
METEOSAT 5 AMV
METEOSAT 5 AMV
METEOSAT 2 AMV Himawari 8 AMV
MTSAT-2 AMV
MTSAT-1R AMV
GMS 5 AMV
GMS 4 AMV
GMS-3 AMV
GMS-2 SATOB-AMV
GMS-1 AMV OSMIC-4 GPSR COSMIC-3 GPSP COSMIC-2 GPSR COSMIC-1 GPSR GRACE A GPSR ± NESDIS IMS JASON 3 RALT WAVE SARAL RALT WAVE JASON 2 RALT WAVE JASON 2 RALT WAVE AND A RALT WAVE SASON 1 RALT WAVE SASON 1 RALT WAVE RAS 2 RALT WAVE ERS 1 RALT WAVE METOP-B ASCAT
OceanSat-2 Scatterometer
METOP-A ASCAT QuickSCAT SeaWind ERS 2 Scatterometer

2014

2018

Atmospheric Motion Vectors (AMV) from polar orbiting sat

NOAA 19 AVHRR IR AMV NOAA 18 AVHRR IR AMV NOAA 17 AVHRR IR AMV

New ERS 1 Scatterometer

Hersbach et al. 2020: doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803